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#### Abstract

We prove several new bounds on ordered codes and ordered orthogonal arrays. We also show that the eigenvalues of the ordered Hamming scheme are the multivariable Krawtchouk polynomials and establish some of their properties.


## I. Introduction

A. The NRT metric space. Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be a finite alphabet of size $q$ viewed as an additive group $\bmod q$. Consider the set $\mathcal{Q}^{r, n}$ of vectors of dimension $r n$ over $\mathcal{Q}$. A vector $\boldsymbol{x}$ will be written as a concatenation of $n$ blocks of length $r$ each, $\boldsymbol{x}=\left\{x_{11}, \ldots, x_{1 r} ; \ldots ; x_{n 1}, \ldots, x_{n r}\right\}$. For a given vector $\boldsymbol{x}$ let $e_{i}, i=1, \ldots, r$ be the number of $r$-blocks of $\boldsymbol{x}$ whose rightmost nonzero entry is in the $i$ th position counting from the beginning of the block. The $r$-vector $e=\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{r}\right)$ will be called the shape of $\boldsymbol{x}$. For two vectors $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \in \mathcal{Q}^{r, n}$ let us write $\boldsymbol{x} \sim_{e} \boldsymbol{y}$ if shape $(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y})=e$. A shape vector $e=\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{r}\right)$ defines a partition of a number $N \leq n$ into a sum of $r$ nonnegative parts. Let $\Delta_{n, r}=\left\{e \in\left(\mathbb{Z}_{+} \cup\{0\}\right)^{r}\right.$ : $\left.\sum_{i} e_{i} \leq n\right\}$ be the set of all such partitions. For brevity we write

$$
|e|=\sum_{i} e_{i}, \quad|e|^{\prime}=\sum_{i} i e_{i}, \quad e_{0}=n-|e|
$$

Let $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathcal{Q}^{r, n}$ be a vector of shape $e$. Define a weight function (norm) on $\mathcal{Q}^{r, n}$ by setting $\mathrm{w}(\boldsymbol{x})=|e|^{\prime}$ and let $d_{r}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})=\mathrm{w}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y})$ denote the metric induced by this norm. We call the function $d_{r}$ the ordered weight. It was first introduced by Niederreiter [13] and later, independently, by Rosenbloom and Tsfasman [15]. The set $\mathcal{Q}^{r, n}$ together with this metric will be called the ordered Hamming space (the NRT space) and denoted by $\vec{H}=\vec{H}(q, n, r)$. Note that the case $r=1$ corresponds to the usual Hamming distance on $\mathcal{Q}^{n}$. Below the value of $r$ is assumed to be fixed.
B. Ordered codes and ordered orthogonal arrays (OOAs). An $(n, M, d)$ ordered code $C \subset \vec{H}$ is an arbitrary subset of $M$ vectors in $\mathcal{Q}^{r, n}$ such that the minimum ordered distance between any two distinct vectors in $C$ is $d$. The number $R=$ $\log _{q} M / r n$ is called the rate of the code $C$. In the asymptotic results below we assume that $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $d / n \rightarrow r \delta$.

Let us call a subset of coordinates $\mathcal{I} \subset\{1, \ldots, r n\}$ leftadjusted if with any coordinate $i r+j, 0 \leq i \leq n-1,1 \leq j \leq r$ it also contains all the coordinates $(i r+1, \ldots, i r+j-1)$ of the same block. A subset $C \subset \mathcal{Q}^{r, n},|C|=M$ is called a $(t, n, r, q)$ ordered orthogonal array (OOA) of strength $t$ if its

[^0]projection on any left-adjusted set of $t$ coordinates contains all the $q^{t}$ rows an equal number, say $\lambda$, of times. The parameter $\lambda$ is called the index of $C$. It follows that $M=\lambda q^{t}$. Sometimes OOAs are also called hypercubic designs.

The study of OOAs is motivated by the problem of designing uniformly distributed sets of points in the $n$-dimensional unit cube $K_{n}$ for use in numerical integration. For a continuous function $f$ of bounded variation, the error of replacing the integral over $K_{n}$ with the sum $M^{-1} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{N}} f(x)$ over a set $\mathcal{N}$ of $M$ points in $K_{n}$ (a "net") can be bounded via the deviation of $\mathcal{N}$ from the uniform distribution. Low-discrepancy point sets [13] give rise to the notion of a $(t, m, s)$-net which can be equivalently defined as an $\operatorname{OOA}(m-t, s, m-t, q)$ with $\lambda=q^{t}$ (see, e.g., [12]). Therefore bounds on OOAs are of interest for estimating the error of Monte-Carlo integration on $K_{n}$. In this context ordered codes arise as a dual object of OOAs within the frame of Delsarte's theory [6], although [15] defined them independently of other problems.

Apart from the combinatorial motivation, ordered codes figure in recent algebraic list decoding algorithms of ReedSolomon codes [14].
C. Notation. Let $v_{e}=\mid\{\boldsymbol{x} \in \vec{H}:$ shape $(\boldsymbol{x})=e\} \mid$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{e}=\binom{n}{e_{0}, e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}}(q-1)^{|e|} q^{|e|^{\prime}-|e|} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $A(z)=(q-1) z\left(z^{r}-1\right) /(q(z-1))$ and let $z_{0}=z_{0}(x)$ satisfy the equation $\operatorname{xr}(1+A(z))=\frac{q-1}{q} \sum_{i} i z^{i}$. Define the function

$$
H_{q, r}(x)=x\left(1-\log _{q} z_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{r} \log _{q}\left(1+A\left(z_{0}\right)\right)
$$

In the case $r=1$ we write $h_{q}(x)$ instead of $H_{q, 1}(x)$, where $h_{q}(x)=-x \log _{q} \frac{x}{q-1}-(1-x) \log _{q}(1-x)$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{\text {crit }}=1-\frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=1}^{r} q^{-i}=1-\frac{1}{r q^{r}} \frac{q^{r}-1}{q-1} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $S_{d}$ be a sphere of radius $d=\delta r n$ in $\vec{H}$. Its volume equals $\left|S_{d}\right|=\sum_{e:|e|^{\prime}=d} v_{e}$. By [15], this quantity satisfies

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}(n r)^{-1} \log _{q}\left|S_{d}\right|= \begin{cases}H_{q, r}(\delta) & 0 \leq \delta \leq \delta_{\text {crit }}  \tag{3}\\ 1 & \delta_{\text {crit }}<\delta \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

D. Bounds on ordered codes and OOAs. A number of bounds on the size of ordered codes and OOAs were established in [15], [9], [11], [5], [12]. By the Gilbert-Varshamov bound [15] there exists an $(n, M)$ code $C \in \vec{H}$ with NRT distance $d$
whose parameters satisfy $M \sum_{i=0}^{d-1}\left|S_{i}\right| \geq q^{n r}$. Asymptotically, we obtain $R \geq 1-H_{q, r}(\delta)$ for $0 \leq \delta \leq \delta_{\text {crit }}$. The same paper also proves the Plotkin bound

$$
M \leq \frac{d}{d-n r \delta_{\text {crit }}}
$$

and the Singleton bound.
Dual bounds (i.e., lower bounds on the size of OOAs) were established in [11], [12]. In particular, let $C$ be a $(t, n, r, q)$ OOA. If $t+1 \geq n r \delta_{\text {crit }}$ then

$$
|C| \geq q^{n r}\left(1-\frac{n r \delta_{\text {crit }}}{t+1}\right)
$$

(dual Plotkin bound, [12]). A dual Hamming bound (Rao bound) on OOAs was proved in [11].

## II. A Bassalygo-Elias bound on codes

Theorem 2.1: Let $C$ be an $(n, M, d)$ code. Then

$$
M \leq q^{r n} d n \min _{0 \leq w \leq r n} \frac{1}{\left|S_{w}\right|\left(d n-2 w n+\frac{w^{2}}{r \delta_{\text {crit }}}\right)}
$$

Proof: We will rely upon the next lemma.
Lemma 2.2: Let $C \subset \vec{H},|C|=M$ be a code all of whose vectors have weight $w$ and are at least distance $d$ apart. Then

$$
M \leq \frac{d n}{d n-2 w n+\frac{w^{2}}{r \delta_{\text {crit }}}}
$$

Proof : Let $C^{i}$ be a projection of $C$ on the $i$ th block of coordinates. For a vector $\boldsymbol{z} \in \mathcal{Q}^{r}$ let $\boldsymbol{z}^{h}=\left(z_{r-h+1}, \ldots, z_{r}\right)$ be its suffix of length $h$. Given $\boldsymbol{x} \in C$, we denote by $\boldsymbol{x}^{i} \in C^{i}$ its $i$ th block and write $\boldsymbol{x}^{i, h}$ to refer to the $h$-suffix of $\boldsymbol{x}^{i}$. For $i=1, \ldots, n ; h=1, \ldots, r ; \boldsymbol{c} \in \mathcal{Q}^{h}$ let $\lambda_{i, c}^{h}=\mid\left\{\boldsymbol{x}^{i} \in C^{i}:\right.$ $\left.\boldsymbol{x}^{i, h}=\boldsymbol{c}\right\} \mid$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{r}\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{i}, \boldsymbol{y}^{i}\right)=r-\sum_{h=1}^{r} \sum_{\boldsymbol{c} \in \mathcal{Q}^{h}} \delta\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{i, h}, \boldsymbol{c}\right) \delta\left(\boldsymbol{y}^{i, h}, \boldsymbol{c}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Compute the sum of all distances in the code as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \in C} d_{r}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) & =n r M^{2}-\sum_{i, \boldsymbol{x}^{i}, \boldsymbol{y}^{i}} \sum_{h=1}^{r} \sum_{\boldsymbol{c} \in \mathcal{Q}^{h}} \delta\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{i, h}, \boldsymbol{c}\right) \delta\left(\boldsymbol{y}^{i, h}, \boldsymbol{c}\right) \\
& =n r M^{2}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{h=1}^{r} \sum_{\boldsymbol{c} \in \mathcal{Q}^{h}}\left(\lambda_{i, \boldsymbol{c}}^{h}\right)^{2} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

To bound above the right-hand side, we need to find the minimum of the quadratic form

$$
F=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{h=1}^{r} \sum_{c \in \mathcal{Q}^{h} \backslash\{0\}}\left(\lambda_{i, c}^{h}\right)^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{h=1}^{r}\left(\lambda_{i, 0}^{h}\right)^{2}
$$

under the constraints

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{h=1}^{r} \lambda_{i, 0}^{h}=M(n r-w) \\
& \sum_{c \in \mathcal{Q}^{h}} \lambda_{i, c}^{h}=M \quad(1 \leq h \leq r, 1 \leq i \leq n) \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Critical points of $F$ in the intersection of these hyperplanes, together with (6), satisfy the equations

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
2 \lambda_{i, c}^{h}+\beta_{i, h}=0 & 1 \leq i \leq n ; 1 \leq h \leq r ; c \in \mathcal{Q}^{h} \backslash\{0\} \\
2 \lambda_{i, 0}^{h}+\alpha+\beta_{i, h}=0 & 1 \leq i \leq n ; 1 \leq h \leq r \\
& \alpha, \beta_{i, h} \in \mathbb{R} . \tag{7}
\end{array}
$$

The system (6)-(7) has a unique solution for the variables $\lambda_{i, c}^{h}, \beta_{i, h}, \alpha$; in particular,
$\lambda_{i, 0}^{h}=M\left[\left(\frac{1}{q^{h}}-1\right) \frac{w}{n r \delta_{\text {crit }}}+1\right], \quad h=1, \ldots, r, i=1, \ldots, n$
$\lambda_{i, c}^{h}=\frac{M w}{q^{h} n r \delta_{\text {crit }}}, \quad h=1, \ldots, r, i=1, \ldots, n, c \in F_{q}^{h} \backslash\{0\}$.
To verify that this critical point is in fact a minimum, observe that the form $F$ is convex because its Hessian matrix is $2 I$ and is positive definite (both globally and restricted to the intersection of the hyperplanes (6) ). Substituting these values of the $\lambda \mathrm{s}$ and taking account of the fact that $\sum_{h} q^{-h}=r(1-$ $\delta_{\text {crit }}$ ), we get

$$
F \geq M^{2} n\left(\frac{w^{2}}{n^{2} r \delta_{\text {crit }}}-\frac{2 w}{n}+r\right)
$$

Then from (5) we obtain

$$
d M(M-1) \leq \sum_{\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \in C} d_{r}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \leq \frac{M^{2}}{n}\left(2 w n-\frac{w^{2}}{r \delta_{\text {crit }}}\right)
$$

which gives the result.
The proof of the theorem is completed as follows. Let $S_{w}$ be a sphere of radius $w$ around zero. Clearly,

$$
|C|\left|S_{w}\right|=\sum_{x \in \vec{H}}\left|(C-x) \cap S_{w}\right| \leq q^{n r} A_{q}(n, d, w)
$$

where $A_{q}(n, d, w)$ is the maximum size of a distance- $d$ code in $S_{w}$. With the previous lemma, this gives the result.

Using (3), the asymptotic version of the BE bound is

$$
R \leq 1-H_{q, r}\left(\delta_{\text {crit }}\left(1-\sqrt{1-\delta / \delta_{\text {crit }}}\right)\right)
$$

## III. The ordered Hamming scheme

An association scheme that describes the combinatorics of the NRT space was constructed in [10]. Define an $r$-class "kernel scheme" $\mathcal{K}\left(\mathcal{Q}^{r, 1}, \mathcal{D}=\left(D_{0}, D_{1}, \ldots, D_{r}\right)\right)$ with the relations
$D_{i}=\left\{\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{2}\right) \in \mathcal{Q}^{r, 1} \times \mathcal{Q}^{r, 1}: d_{r}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{2}\right)=i\right\} \quad(0 \leq i \leq r)$.
The next theorem uses the notion of Delsarte extension of association schemes [6, p.17]. (We refer to [6], [3] for general combinatorial background.)

Theorem 3.1: [10] The space $X=\mathcal{Q}^{r, n}$ together with the relations

$$
R_{e}=\left\{(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \in X \times X: \boldsymbol{x} \sim_{e} \boldsymbol{y}\right\} \quad\left(e \in \Delta_{n, r}\right)
$$

forms a formally self-dual association scheme $\vec{H}$, called the $r$ Hamming scheme. In can be constructed as an $n$-fold Delsarte extension of $\mathcal{K}$.
This implies in particular that the first and second eigenvalues of $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{H}}$ coincide. In this section we establish properties of the eigenvalues for later use in bounding the size of codes and OOAs. We remark that the valences of the scheme are equal to its multiplicities, and both are given by $v_{e}, e \in \Delta_{n, r}$.

In the conventional case of $r=1$, eigenvalues of the Hamming scheme are given by the Krawtchouk polynomials

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{i}(n, x)=\sum_{l=0}^{i}(-1)^{l}(q-1)^{k-l}\binom{x}{l}\binom{n-x}{k-l} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which form a family of polynomials of one discrete variable orthogonal on $\{0,1, \ldots, n\}$ with weight $\alpha(i)=\binom{n}{i} 2^{-i}$, i.e., the binomial probability distribution. Here we are interested in their multivariable generalization.

Let $V=V_{r, n}$ be the space of real polynomials of $r$ discrete variables $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots x_{r}\right)$ defined on $\Delta_{n, r}$. Let us define a bilinear form acting on the space $V$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\varphi, \psi\rangle=\sum_{e \in \Delta_{n, r}} \varphi(e) \psi(e) w(e) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w(e)=q^{-n r} v_{e}$. By Delsarte, the eigenvalues of $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{H}}$ are orthogonal, namely $\left\langle P_{e}, P_{f}\right\rangle=\delta_{e, f} v_{e}$. Let

$$
p_{i}=q^{i-r-1}(q-1), i=1, \ldots, r ; \quad p_{0}=q^{-r}
$$

The numbers $p_{i}, i=0, \ldots, r$ define a multinomial probability distribution on the set of partitions according to

$$
\operatorname{Pr}(e)=n!\prod_{i=0}^{r} \frac{p_{i}^{e_{i}}}{e_{i}!}
$$

and $\operatorname{Pr}(e)=w(e)$. With this, we recognize the eigenvalues $P_{e}$ as a particular case of multivariable Krawtchouk polynomials [16] which form an orthogonal basis of the space $V=$ $L_{2}\left(\Delta_{n, r}\right)$ of real polynomials of $r$ discrete variables. For a partition $f \in \Delta_{n, r}$ denote by

$$
K_{f}(x)=K_{f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right)
$$

the Krawtchouk polynomial that corresponds to it. Let $\kappa=|f|$ be the degree of $K_{f}$.

Properties of the polynomials $K_{f}$. The next properties follow from the general theory of [6].
(i) $K_{e}(x)$ is a polynomial in the variables $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}$ of degree $\kappa=|e|$. There are $\binom{\kappa+r-1}{r-1}$ different polynomials of the same degree, each corresponding to a partition of $\kappa$.
(ii) (Orthogonality)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle K_{f}, K_{g}\right\rangle=v_{f} \delta_{f, g}, \quad\left\|K_{f}\right\|=\sqrt{v_{f}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, let $F_{i}=\left(0^{i-1} 10^{r-i-1}\right), i=1, \ldots, r$ be a partition with one part. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|K_{F_{i}}\right\|^{2}=\left\langle K_{F_{i}}, K_{F_{i}}\right\rangle=n(q-1) q^{i-1} \quad i=1, \ldots, r \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) (Linear polynomials) For $i=1, \ldots, r$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{F_{i}}(x)=q^{i-1}(q-1)\left(n-x_{r}-\cdots-x_{r-i+2}\right)-q^{i} x_{r-i+1} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

This can be computed by Gram-Schmidt starting with $K_{0, \ldots, 0}=1$ and using (11).
(iv)

$$
v_{e} K_{f}(e)=v_{f} K_{e}(f) \quad\left(e, f \in \Delta_{n . r}\right)
$$

In particular, $K_{f}(0)=v_{f}$.
(v) For any $e, f \in \Delta_{n, r}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{f}(e) K_{g}(e)=\sum_{h \in \Delta_{n, r}} p_{f, g}^{h} K_{h}(e) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the linearization coefficients $p_{f, g}^{h}=\mid\left\{\boldsymbol{z} \in \mathcal{Q}^{r, n}: \boldsymbol{z} \sim_{f}\right.$ $\left.\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{z} \sim_{g} \boldsymbol{y} ; \boldsymbol{x} \sim_{h} \boldsymbol{y}\right\} \mid$ are the intersection numbers of the scheme. In particular, $p_{f, g}^{h} \geq 0$.
(vi) (Three-term relation) Let $\mathbb{K}_{\kappa}$ be a column vector of the polynomials $K_{f}$ ordered lexicographically with respect to all $f$ that satisfy $|f|=\kappa$. The three-term relation is obtained by expanding the product $P(e) \mathbb{K}_{\kappa}(e)$ in the basis $\left\{K_{f}\right\}$, where $P(e)$ is a first-degree polynomial. By orthogonality, the only nonzero terms in this expansion will be polynomials of degrees $\kappa+1, \kappa, \kappa-1$ [8, p.75].

We establish an explicit form of the three-term relation for $P(e)=\delta_{\text {crit }} r n-|e|^{\prime}$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(e) \mathbb{K}_{\kappa}(e)=a_{\kappa} \mathbb{K}_{\kappa+1}(e)+b_{\kappa} \mathbb{K}_{\kappa}(e)+c_{\kappa} \mathbb{K}_{\kappa-1}(e) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{\kappa}, b_{\kappa}, c_{\kappa}$ are matrices of order $\binom{\kappa+r-1}{r-1} \times\binom{\kappa+t+r-1}{r-1}$, where $t=1,0,-1$ respectively. The nonzero elements of these matrices have the following form:

$$
\begin{gathered}
a_{\kappa}[f, h]=L_{i}\left(f_{i}+1\right) \\
\text { if } h=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{i}+1, \ldots, f_{r}\right) \\
c_{\kappa}[f, h]=L_{i}(n-\kappa+1) q^{i-1}(q-1) \\
\text { if } h=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{i}-1, \ldots, f_{r}\right) \\
b_{\kappa}[f, h]=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
L_{i} f_{i} q^{i-1}(q-2) \quad \text { if } h=f \\
L_{i}\left(f_{k}+1\right) q^{i-1}(q-1) & 1 \leq k<i \\
\text { if } h=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{k}+1, \ldots, f_{i}-1, \ldots, f_{r}\right), \\
L_{i}\left(f_{i}+1\right) q^{k-1}(q-1) & \left.1 \leq, f_{i}+1, \ldots, f_{r}\right), \\
\text { if } h=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{k}-1, \ldots\right. \\
1 \leq k<i
\end{array}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

where $L_{i}=\frac{q^{r-i+1}-1}{q^{r}(q-1)}$.
Along with the polynomials $K_{e}$ below we use their normalized version $\widetilde{K}_{e}=K_{e} / \sqrt{v_{e}}$. The polynomials $\left\{\widetilde{K}_{e}, e \in \Delta_{n, r}\right\}$ form an orthonormal basis of $V$. The matrices $a, b, c$ in the orthonormal basis will be denoted by $A, B, C$ respectively.

Let $V_{\kappa} \subset V$ be the set of polynomials of total degree $\leq \kappa$. Let $E_{\kappa}$ be the orthogonal projection from $V$ on $V_{\kappa}$. Define the operator

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{\kappa}: V_{\kappa} & \rightarrow V_{\kappa} \\
\varphi & \mapsto E_{\kappa}(P(e) \varphi)
\end{aligned}
$$

Its matrix in the orthonormal basis has the form

$$
\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}_{\kappa}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
B_{0} & A_{0} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
C_{1} & B_{1} & A_{1} & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & C_{2} & B_{2} & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & C_{\kappa} & B_{\kappa}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where the $B_{i}$ s are symmetric and $C_{i}=A_{i-1}^{T}, i=1, \ldots, \kappa$. On account of property (v) and the fact that $P(e)=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{r} L_{i} K_{F_{i}}(e)$, the matrix elements of $\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}_{\kappa}$ are nonnegative.

The matrix of $S_{\kappa}$ in the basis $\left\{K_{e}\right\}$ has the property

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{h} \mathbf{S}_{\kappa}[f, h]=v_{f} \mathbf{S}_{\kappa}[h, f] \quad\left(f, h \in \Delta_{n, r}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

(vii) (Explicit expression)

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{f}(x)=q^{|f|^{\prime}-|f|} \prod_{i=1}^{r} k_{f_{i}}\left(n_{i}, x_{r-i+1}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{f_{i}}$ is a univariate Krawtchouk polynomial (8), $n_{i}=$ $\sum_{j=0}^{r-i+1} x_{j}-\sum_{j=i+1}^{r} f_{j}$, and $f, x \in \Delta_{n, r}$. This form of the polynomial $K_{f}(x)$ was obtained in [5] (various other forms were found in [10], [7]).
(viii) (Christoffel-Darboux). Let $L \subset \Delta_{n, r}$ and define

$$
U_{L}(a, e) \triangleq \sum_{f \in L} v_{f}^{-1} K_{f}(a) K_{f}(e) \quad\left(a, e \in \Delta_{n, r}\right)
$$

The action of $P(e)$ on $U_{L}$ is described as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (P(e)-P(a)) U_{L}(a, e) \\
= & \sum_{f \in L} v_{f}^{-1} \sum_{h \in \Delta_{n, r} \backslash L} \mathbf{S}_{\kappa}[f, h]\left(K_{h}(e) K_{f}(a)-K_{h}(a) K_{f}(e)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

A particular case of the above is obtained when $L=\{f$ : $|f| \leq \kappa\}$. The kernel $U_{L}$, denoted in this case by $U_{\kappa}$, equals $U_{\kappa}=\sum_{s=0}^{\kappa} \widetilde{\mathbb{K}}_{s}(e)^{T} \widetilde{\mathbb{K}}_{s}(a)$, and we obtain
$(P(e)-P(a)) U_{\kappa}(a, e)=\sum_{f:|f|=\kappa} Q_{f}(e) \widetilde{K}_{f}(a)-\widetilde{K}_{f}(e) Q_{f}(a)$
where $Q_{f}(e)=\sum_{h:|h|=\kappa+1} \widetilde{K}_{h}(e) A_{\kappa}[f, h]$. This relation is called the Christoffel-Darboux formula.

## IV. An LP bound on codes and OOAs

The next result is a particular case of Delsarte's bound (see also [9]).

Theorem 4.1: Let $F(x)=F_{0}+\sum_{e \neq 0} F_{e} K_{e}(x)$ be a polynomial that satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{0}>0, \quad F_{e} \geq 0 \quad(e \neq 0) ; \quad F(e) \leq 0 \quad\left(|e|^{\prime} \geq d\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then any $(n, M, d)$ code satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
M \leq F(0) / F_{0} . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Any OOA of strength $t=d-1$ and size $M^{\prime}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{\prime} \geq q^{n r} F_{0} / F(0) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use this result to prove the next
Theorem 4.2: Let $C \subset \vec{H}$ be an $(n, M, d)$ code and let $\lambda_{\kappa}$ denote the maximum eigenvalue of $S_{\kappa}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
M \leq \frac{4 r \delta_{\text {crit }}(n-\kappa)\left(q^{r}-1\right)^{\kappa}}{\delta_{\text {crit }} r n-\lambda_{\kappa}}\binom{n}{\kappa} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\kappa$ is any degree such that $P(e) \leq \lambda_{\kappa-1}$ for all shapes $e$ with $|e|^{\prime} \geq d$.
Proof: Consider the operator $T_{\kappa}$ that equals $S_{\kappa}$ on $V_{\kappa-1}$ and acts on a function $\varphi \in V_{\kappa} \backslash V_{\kappa-1}$ by

$$
T_{\kappa}(\varphi):=S_{\kappa} \varphi-\sum_{f:|f|=\kappa} \varepsilon_{f} \varphi_{f} \widetilde{K}_{f}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{f}>0$ are some constants indexed by the partitions of weight $\kappa$ (their values will be chosen later). The matrix of $T_{\kappa}$ in the orthonormal basis equals

$$
\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}_{\kappa}=\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}_{\kappa}-\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & E
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $E=\operatorname{diag}\left(\varepsilon_{f},|f|=\kappa\right)$ is a matrix of order $\binom{\kappa+r-1}{r-1}$. Let $m$ be such that $\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}_{\kappa}+m I>0$. By Perron-Frobenius, the spectral radius $\rho\left(T_{\kappa}+m I\right)$ is well-defined and is an eigenvalue of (algebraic and geometric) multiplicity one of $T_{\kappa}+m I$. Moreover, again using Perron-Frobenius,

$$
\rho\left(S_{\kappa-1}+m I\right)<\rho\left(T_{\kappa}+m I\right)<\rho\left(S_{\kappa}+m I\right)
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{\kappa-1}<\theta_{\kappa}<\lambda_{\kappa} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta_{\kappa}=\rho\left(T_{\kappa}\right)$. Let $G>0$ be the eigenfunction of $T_{\kappa}$ with eigenvalue $\theta_{\kappa}$. Let us write out the product $P(e) G$ in the orthonormal basis:

$$
\begin{gathered}
P(e) G=G \widetilde{\mathbf{S}}_{\kappa}+G_{\kappa} A_{\kappa} \widetilde{\mathbb{K}}_{\kappa+1} \\
=\theta_{\kappa} G+\sum_{f:|f|=\kappa} \varepsilon_{f} G_{f} \widetilde{K}_{f}+G_{\kappa} A_{\kappa} \widetilde{\mathbb{K}}_{\kappa+1}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $G_{\kappa}$ is a projection of the vector $G$ on the space $V_{\kappa} \backslash V_{\kappa-1}$. This implies the equality

$$
G=\frac{\sum_{|f|=\kappa} G_{f}\left(\varepsilon_{f} \widetilde{K}_{f}+Q_{f}\right)}{P(e)-\theta_{\kappa}}
$$

where $Q_{f}(e)$ is defined after (17). Now take $F(e)=(P(e)-$ $\left.\theta_{\kappa}\right) G^{2}(e)$. Let us verify (18). Since multiplication by a function is a self-adjoint operator, we obtain
$F_{0}=\langle F, 1\rangle=\left\langle\sum_{|f|=\kappa} G_{f}\left(\varepsilon_{f} \widetilde{K}_{f}+Q_{f}\right), G\right\rangle=\sum_{|f|=\kappa} G_{f}^{2} \varepsilon_{f}>0$.
By (13), $F_{e} \geq 0$ for $e \neq 0$. The assumption of the theorem together with (22) implies that $F(e) \leq 0$ for $|e|^{\prime} \geq d$. Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
& M \leq \frac{F(0)}{F_{0}}=\frac{\left(\sum_{|f|=\kappa} G_{f}\left(\varepsilon_{f} \widetilde{K}_{f}(0)+Q_{f}(0)\right)\right)^{2}}{\left(P(0)-\theta_{\kappa}\right) \sum_{|f|=\kappa} G_{f}^{2} \varepsilon_{f}} \\
\leq & \sum_{|f|=\kappa} \frac{\left(\varepsilon_{f} \widetilde{K}_{f}(0)+Q_{f}(0)\right)^{2}}{\left(P(0)-\lambda_{\kappa}\right) \varepsilon_{f}} \leq \frac{4 \sum_{|f|=\kappa} Q_{f}(0) \sqrt{v_{f}}}{P(0)-\lambda_{\kappa}} \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

where in the third step we used the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and in the last step computed the minimum on $\varepsilon_{f}$. Next,

$$
\sum_{|f|=\kappa} Q_{f}(0) \sqrt{v_{f}}=\sum_{f:|f|=\kappa} \sqrt{v_{f}} \sum_{h:|h|=\kappa+1} A_{\kappa}[f, h] \sqrt{v_{h}} .
$$

Let $h=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{i}+1, \ldots, f_{r}\right)$ for some $i, 1 \leq i \leq r$. Then using (1) we find

$$
A_{\kappa}[f, h] \sqrt{v_{h}}=\left(1-\frac{1}{q^{r-i+1}}\right)(n-\kappa) \sqrt{v_{f}}
$$

Thus we have

$$
\sum_{|f|=\kappa} Q_{f}(0) \sqrt{v_{f}}=\sum_{|f|=\kappa} \sum_{i=1}^{r}(n-\kappa)\left(1-\frac{1}{q^{r-i+1}}\right) v_{f}
$$

$$
=(n-\kappa) r \delta_{\text {crit }} \sum_{|f|=\kappa} v_{f}=(n-\kappa) r \delta_{\text {crit }}\binom{n}{\kappa}\left(q^{r}-1\right)^{\kappa} .
$$

Substitution of this into (23) concludes the proof.
Remark: The proof uses a "spectral method" first employed in [2] for the Grassmannian space and later used in [4] to prove classical asymptotic bounds of coding theory. The gist of the method can be explained as follows. The polynomial $F(e)$ is sought in the form $F(e)=u(e) G^{2}(e)$ where $u(e)$ is a linear function that assures that $F(e) \leq 0$ in (18) and $G(e)$ is a function that maximizes the Fourier transform $\widehat{F}(0)$. It turns out that a good choice for $G$ is a delta-function at (or near) $d$. An approximation of the delta-function is given by the (Dirichlet) kernel $U_{\kappa}$ which is its projection on $V_{\kappa}$. We therefore seek to modify the operator $S_{\kappa}$ so that $U_{\kappa}$ becomes its eigenfunction with eigenvalue $\theta_{\kappa}$, express the bound of Theorem 4.1 as a function of $\theta_{\kappa}$ and optimize on $\kappa$ within the limits (18). The reader is advised to consult the univariate case [4] for which these ideas become more apparent.

Next we estimate the spectral radius of $S_{\kappa}$ using some combinatorics of partitions and prove the following asymptotic result.

Theorem 4.3: Let $R_{L P}(\delta)$ be the function defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R(\tau)=\frac{1}{r}\left(h_{q}(\tau)+\tau \log _{q}\left(\left(q^{r}-1\right) /(q-1)\right)\right), \quad 0 \leq \tau \leq 1 \\
& \delta(\tau)=\delta_{\text {crit }}-\frac{1}{r} \max \left\{\sum _ { i = 1 } ^ { r } L _ { i } \left(2 \sqrt{(1-\tau)(q-1) \tau_{i} q^{i-1}}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+(q-2) \tau_{i} q^{i-1}\left(q^{r-i+1}-1\right)+2 \frac{q-1}{q} \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \sqrt{\tau_{k} \tau_{i} q^{i+k}}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the maximum is taken over $\left\{\tau_{i} \geq 0 ; \sum_{i=1}^{l} \tau_{i}=\tau\right\}$. Then the asymptotic rate of any code family of relative distance $\delta$ satisfies $R \leq R_{L P}(\delta)$ and the rate of any family of OOAs of relative strength $\delta$ satisfies $R \geq 1-R_{L P}(\delta)$.

## V. AN IMPROVED BOUND FOR $r=2$

In this section we prove a bound for codes in $\vec{H}(q, n, 2)$ which improves upon the general result of the previous section. The improvement is due to the fact that in the case $r=2$ it is possible to work with the polynomials $K_{f}(e)$ in their explicit form, and base the bound on the behavior of their zeros instead of the spectral radius of the operator $S_{\kappa}$. Namely, let $f=\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right), e=\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$. From (16) we have

$$
K_{f}(e)=q^{f_{2}} k_{f_{2}}\left(n-e_{2}, e_{1}\right) k_{f_{1}}\left(n-f_{2}, e_{2}\right)
$$

We use the polynomial $F(a, e)=(P(e)-P(a)) U_{L}^{2}(a, e)$ with a specially designed set $L$ in Theorem 4.1. The analysis relies on the ideas of [1], leading to
Theorem 5.1: The asymptotic rate of any family of codes of relative distance $\delta$ satisfies $R \leq \Phi(\delta)$, where

$$
\Phi(\delta)=\min _{\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}} 1 / 2\left\{\tau_{2}+h_{q}\left(\tau_{1}\right)+\left(1-\tau_{1}\right) h_{q}\left(\frac{\tau_{2}}{1-\tau_{1}}\right)\right\}
$$

where the minimum is taken over all $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ that satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \leq \tau_{1} \leq(q-1) / q^{2}, \quad 0 \leq \tau_{2} \leq(q-1) / q \\
& \gamma\left(\tau_{2}\right)+\left(2-\gamma\left(\tau_{2}\right)\right)\left(1-\tau_{2}\right) \gamma\left(\tau_{1}\right) \leq 2 \delta
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\gamma(x)=\frac{q-1}{q}-\frac{q-2}{q} x-\frac{2}{q} \sqrt{(q-1) x(1-x)} .
$$

The asymptotic rate of any family of OOAs of relative strength $\delta$ satisfies $R \geq 1-\Phi(\delta)$.


Fig. 1. Bounds for $r=2, q=2$
The bound of Theorem 5.1 is inferior to the result of Theorem 4.3 for large $\delta$. For $r \geq 2$ Theorem 4.3 gives the best result for $\delta$ in this region.
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